UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually and) on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-02267
Plaintiff,	Judge Aleta A. Trauger
vs.)	Magistrate Judge Jeffery Frensley
CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
<i>,</i>	

DECLARATION OF RYAN WYNNE

- 1. I, Ryan Wynne, currently serve as the Acting Chief of the Privatized Corrections Contracting Section of the Federal Bureau of Prisons ("BOP"). I have worked for the BOP as a contracting officer since October, 2009.
- 2. As Acting Chief of Privatized Corrections Contracting ("PCC"), I oversee all BOP-related activities regarding the procurement, administration, and termination of the BOP's adult male private contract facilities.
- 3. I have reviewed ECF 362-16, Source Selection Decision RFP-PCC-0022 ("SSD 0022"). Although this document relates to a procurement that resulted in an award in January of 2015, the information therein is still relevant to BOP's procedures for competitive procurements today. Additionally, private detention facilities have a useful life expectancy that far exceeds six years and the technical, past performance, and pricing information for the facilities described in the Source Selection Decision could be relevant to both future BOP procurements and other government agency procurements involving private detention operations.
 - 4. Regarding internal BOP source selection procedures, SSD-0022 contains Source

Selection Sensitive Information detailing BOP's procedures and internal processes for evaluating and selecting potential contractors under Federal Acquisition Regulation ("FAR") Part 15 competitive procedures. PCC has not released this document to the public and to do so would damage the BOP's ability to fairly and competitively procure both adult male private contract facilities and other similar services that are acquired utilizing competitive procedures under FAR Part 15. Specifically, if the details of BOP's source selection decision-making process were revealed, competitors could attempt to game the system by match their proposals to the source selection decision analysis in a way that would diminish the BOP's ability to receive impartial and fairly competed proposals.

- 5. Additionally, SSD-0022 contains detailed information concerning the technical offers and price of several unsuccessful proposals and it contains descriptions of nonpublic past performance information for several private detention facility vendors. Revealing nonpublic technical and pricing information of unsuccessful offerors places those offerors at an unfair disadvantage in not just future BOP procurements but any acquisitions where the detention facilities discussed in this document are competed. For example, armed with this knowledge, competitors could either make technical adjustments to their own facilities to better position themselves or they could use this knowledge of the described facilities' technical strengths and weaknesses to outmaneuver them from a pricing standpoint. Similarly, revealing a vendor's nonpublic past performance information would place it at a severe competitive disadvantage as it would enable other competitors to adjust their prices after taking those ratings into account during any future procurements where private detention facility past performance is relevant to the evaluation criteria.
 - 6. I have also reviewed pages 41-51 of ECF 398-8, Deposition of Douglas M. Martz.

This document includes detailed descriptions of a private detention facility operator's Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System ("CPARS") report. As the official source for past performance information, CPARS reports may be used to support future award decisions, are to be marked as source selection information, and may not be disclosed to the public. Disclosure of such information could cause harm both to the commercial interest of the Government and to the competitive position of the contractor being evaluated as well as impede the efficiency of Government operations. In this case, unsealing a private detention facility operator's CPARS information would place it at a competitive disadvantage with other vendors. Those vendors could use that information to adjust their own prices and outmaneuver it in future Government procurements involving private detention facilities. This would also negatively impact the in future procurements.

7. I have also reviewed ECF 400-17, Email Correspondence between Donna Mellendick and Cathy Scott. The document contains a Contract Facility Monitoring Report ("CFM Report") attached to the email correspondence that includes several references to Corrections Corporation of America's ("CCA") internal company policies. Although the policies themselves are not attached to the Contract Facility Monitoring Report, they are described in detail. PCC does not release private vendor policies as they may constitute confidential or proprietary trade secret information.

Executed this 4thday of February, 2021.

Ryan Myynne Ryan Wynne

Acting Chief

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Privatized Corrections Contracting

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 12, 2021, service of the foregoing document was made upon the following Filing Users through the Court's Electronic Filing System:

Michael Goldberg Brian Schall GOLDBERG LAW, PC 1999 Avenue of the Starts, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Email: michael@goldberglawpc.com

Email: brian@goldberglawpc.com

Paul Kent Bramlett Robert P. Bramlett **BRAMLETT LAW OFFICES** 40 Burton Hills Blvd., Suite 200 P. O. Box 150734 Nashville, TN 37215

Email: pknashlaw@aol.com Email: robert@bramlettlawoffices.com

Christopher Hamp Lyons Christopher M. Wood **ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &** DOWD, LLP 414 Union Street, Suite 900

Nashville, TN 37219 Email: clyons@rgrdlaw.com

Email: cwood@rgrdlaw.com

Dennis J. Herman Willow E. Radcliffe Kenneth J. Black ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD, LLP

Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104

Email: dherman@rgrdlaw.com Email: willowr@rgrdlaw.com Email: kennyb@rgrdlaw.com

Jeremy A. Lieberman J. Alexander Hood, II Marc C. Gorrie POMERANTZ, LLP 600 Third Ave., 20th Floor New York, NY 10016 Email: jalieberman@pomlaw.com

Email: ahood@pomlaw.com Email: mgorrie@pomlaw.com

Christopher T. Cain SCOTT & CAIN 550 W Main Avenue, Suite 601 Knoxville, TN 37902 Email: cain@scottandcain.com

Jerry E. Martin **BARRETT JOHNSTON MARTIN &** GARRISON, LLC

Bank of America Plaza 414 Union Street, Suite 900 Nashville, TN 37219

Email: jmartin@barrettjohnston.com

Brian T. Glennon David J. Schindler Faraz Mohammadi Meryn C.N. Grant

LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP (LA Office) 355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Email: brian.glennon@lw.com Email: david.schindler@lw.com Email: faraz.mohammadi@lw.com Email: Meryn.Grant@lw.com

Milton S. McGee, III Morgan E. Whitworth Steven Allen Riley RILEY, WARNOCK & JACOBSON LATHAM & WATKINS 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 1906 West End Avenue San Francisco, CA 94111-2562 Nashville, TN 37203 Email: morgan.whitworth@lw.com Email: tmcgee@rwjplc.com Email: sriley@rwjplc.com James A. Holifield, Jr. Sarah A. Tomkowiak HOLIFIELD, JANICH, RACHAL, & LATHAM & WATKINS LLP (DC Office) ASSOCIATES, PLLC 555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000 11907 Kingston Pike, Suite 201 Washington, DC 20004-1304 Knoxville, TN 37934 Email: sarah.tomkowiak@lw.com Email: aholifield@holifieldlaw.com Jason A. Forge Patrick V. Dahlstrom ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & POMERANTZ, LLP DOWD, LLP (San Diego) 10 South La Salle St., Suite 3505 655 W Broadway, Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60603 San Diego, CA 92101 Email: pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com Email: jforge@rgrdlaw.com

s/ Mark H. Wildasin
MARK H. WILDASIN
Assistant United States Attorney